

# **Council Meeting**

6<sup>th</sup> December 2011

# **Booklet 1**

Recommendations

# **INDEX TO MINUTES**

Cabinet, 8<sup>th</sup> November 2011

## **CABINET**

## 8<sup>th</sup> November 2011

Cabinet Members Councillor Mrs Bigham Present: Councillor Clifford

Councillor Duggins (Deputy Chair)

Councillor Harvard Councillor Kelly Councillor A. Khan

Councillor J. Mutton (Chair)

Councillor O'Boyle Councillor Townshend

Non-Voting Opposition

Representatives Present: Councillor Blundell

Councillor Foster

Other Members Present: Councillor Gazey

Councillor Mrs Lucas (Chair of Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee)

Councillor Noonan

Employees Present: H. Abraham (Customer and Workforce Services Directorate)

P. Boulton (City Services and Development Directorate)

A. Butler (Children, Learning and Young People's Directorate)

S. Brake (Community Services Directorate)
F. Collingham (Chief Executive's Directorate
C. Dutton (Community Services Directorate)

C. Forde (Finance and Legal Services Directorate)

R. Garcia (Children, Learning and Young People's Directorate)
C. Green (Director of Children, Learning and Young People)
M. Jagatia (Children, Learning and Young People's Directorate)

C. Knight (City Services and Development Directorate)S. Lal (Customer and Workforce Services Directorate)R. Moon (City Services and Development Directorate)

J. Parry (Chief Executive's Directorate)

M. Reeves (Chief Executive)

C. Sinclair (Customer and Workforce Services Directorate)

C. West (Director of Finance and Legal Services)

M. Yardley (Director of City Services and Development)
R. Young (City Services and Development Directorate)

Apologies: Councillor Lakha

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

#### **Public business**

#### 69. **Declarations of Interest**

There were no declarations of interest.

#### 70. Exclusion of Press and Public

RESOLVED that, under Section 100A(4) of the Local Government Act 1972, the press and public be excluded from the meeting for the following items of business on the grounds that the items involve the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in Part I of Schedule 12A of that Act:

Minute Paragraph

77: Coventry 2012 – Confirmation of Full Programme of Works 3

# 75. Coventry 2012 – Confirmation of Full Programme of Works

The Cabinet considered a report of the Director of City Services and Development which examined options for funding the additional works in respect of the Coventry 2012 programme. A corresponding private report detailing financially confidential aspects of the proposals was also submitted to this meeting (Minute 77 below refers).

The public response to, and the impact of the Coventry 2012 public realm works had led to a review of other potential works that could add to and build upon the impact of the works that were programmed and being undertaken. The report emphasised that the cost of the current programme was within approved resources but to meet the additional aspirations would require additional resources.

Cabinet approved a programme to deliver a programme of infrastructure and public realm improvements to:

- Promote regeneration of the city centre
- Provide a lasting legacy for the people of Coventry
- Engender a feeling of pride in Coventry and ensure the many visitors in 2012 leave with a positive image of the city.

The approved programme included works in Broadgate (including the top of Trinity Street), Railway Station to Bull Yard, High St, Council House Square and de-cluttering in Hales St, Corporation St and Queen Victoria Road.

A public consultation was undertaken in January 2011 immediately following Cabinet approval. In response to the feedback from the consultation a number of significant changes were made to the design and scope of the Broadgate and Station to Bull Yard schemes. The principal changes were to fully pedestrianise Broadgate and to upgrade the quality of the paving material in Broadgate. Inevitably the consequence had

been an increase in costs. The other schemes, including Gosford Street, remained within budget. As a consequence of these design changes and the reduction in budget, the schemes to High Street and Council Square have had to be omitted from the first phase of works to allow the overall programme to remain within the approved budget.

Furthermore, as a result of the public consultation, a number of additional schemes had been proposed. These included pocket parks, further de-cluttering, improved way-finding within the city centre and further improvements to Jordan Well and Earl Street. The additional schemes were highlighted in Appendix A of the report submitted.

Schemes had also come forward as a result of discussions with other organisations such as Severn Trent (Much Park Street), the Cathedral (Unity Lawn) and the Methodist Central Hall.

A value engineering exercise had been carried out on all schemes in partnership with Balfour Beatty and good progress had been made on driving down some costs. Examples included the complete closure of the Cox St/ Gosford Street junction to reduce the construction period and the recycling of materials.

Appendix C of the report showed the location and planned extent of all potential projects and demonstrated how the projects linked together. Appendix A listsed of all of the potential schemes and provided an overview of costs (after value engineering) and deliverability. These projects could be cross referenced on the map of the City Centre in Appendix C. Appendix B provided a brief description of each scheme.

It was noted that, to stay within the approved budget (and due to the comments noted in clause 1.3), the Station to Bull Yard scheme had been reduced in scope. It was proposed that if further funding became available, the full scope of this scheme would be restored.

It was anticipated that the schemes had the potential to significantly enhance the core (green) Coventry 2012 projects and would help to deliver a coherent traffic strategy across the city centre.

The report examined options for funding the additional works without further borrowing and without affecting existing highways or transport programmes. Three options were presented for managing the remaining shortfall.

The report also detailed the results of the consultation taken in January 2011 which included the opening of a shop in the city centre for a fortnight and presentations at many ward forum meetings and those of other groups across the city, which resulted in a number of significant design changes including the full pedestrianisation of Broadgate, the change of material specification to granite, more feature lighting and more greenery. All of these design changes had had significant cost implications as detailed in the report.

Ideas for further projects emerged from the consultation process such as pocket parks and further de-cluttering which proved to be very popular with the public. In addition, there had been ongoing dialogue with access groups to ensure that the scheme reflected the needs of people with disabilities. Much of what had been done and what was now proposed clearly demonstrated how the consultation with the public had genuinely been

used to change and amend the nature of the schemes originally proposed. The quality of the consultation had also clearly been appreciated by the public as there was clear and continuing support for the project.

RESOLVED that, after due consideration of the options and proposals contained in the report and matters referred to at the meeting, Cabinet recommend that Council:

- (a) Agree that authority be delegated to the Leader in his capacity as Cabinet Member responsible for the Olympics in consultation with relevant Cabinet Members and the Director of City Services and Development to develop the revised programme of works as set out in appendices A, B and C and their implementation subject to the availability of funding.
- (b) Agree that authority be delegated to the Director of City Services and Development to make the submission, if appropriate, of a supplementary application by the Council to the European Regional Development Fund for an extension to grant funding for the development of the Coventry 2012 programme.
- (c) Agree to authorise that design works continue for the works set out in Appendix A ahead of full funding being available, provided that overall costs remained within the budget approved pursuant to this report.

#### Private business

## 77. Coventry 2012 – Confirmation of Full Programme of Works

Further to Minute 75 above, the Cabinet considered a report of the Director of City Services and Development, which detailed financially confidential information in relation to the options for funding the additional works in respect of the Coventry 2012 programme.

RESOLVED that, after due consideration of the options and proposals contained in the report and matters referred to at the meeting, Cabinet recommend that Council approve the recommendations as set out in the report submitted.

Meeting Closed: 2.50 pm



10
Public report
Cabinet Report

A separate report is submitted in the private part of the agenda in respect of this item, as it contains details of financial information required to be kept private in accordance with Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972. The grounds for privacy are that it refers to the identity, financial and business affairs of an organisation and the amount of expenditure proposed to be incurred by the Council under a particular contract for the supply of goods or services.

Cabinet 8 November 2011

Council 6 December 2011

Name of Cabinet Member:

Cabinet Member (City Development) – Councillor Bigham Cabinet Member (City Services) – Councillor Harvard

**Director Approving Submission of the report:** 

Director of City Services and Development

Ward(s) affected: St Michaels

**Title:** Coventry 2012 – Confirmation of full programme of works

Is this a key decision?

Yes

## **Executive Summary:**

The public response to and the obvious impact of the Coventry 2012 public realm works has led to a review of other potential works that could add to and build upon the impact of the works that are programmed and being undertaken. It is important to emphasise that the cost of the current programme is within approved resources but to meet these additional aspirations would require additional resources.

This report examines options for funding the additional works without further borrowing and without affecting existing highways or transport programmes. Three options are presented for managing the remaining shortfall.

#### **Recommendations:**

Cabinet are requested to recommend that Council approve:

- The delegation of authority to the Leader in his capacity of Cabinet Member responsible for the Olympics in consultation with relevant Cabinet Members and the Director of City Services and Development to develop the revised programme of works as set out in appendices A, B and C and their implementation subject to the availability of funding.
- 2. The delegation of authority to the Director of City Services and Development to make the submission, if appropriate, of a supplementary application by the Council to the European Regional Development Fund for an extension to grant funding for the development of the Coventry 2012 programme.
- 3. To authorise that design works continue for the works set out in Appendix A ahead of full funding being available, provided that overall costs remain within the budget approved pursuant to this report.

## **List of Appendices included:**

Appendix A – Table of approved and proposed schemes

Appendix B – Scheme descriptions

Appendix C – Plan of approved and proposed schemes

# Other useful background papers:

Cabinet Report: Coventry 2012 Public Realm – 21st January 2011

Has it been or will it be considered by Scrutiny?

Has it been or will it be considered by any other Council Committee, Advisory Panel or other body?

Cabinet Advisory Panel for the Olympics

Will this report go to Council?

Yes, 6<sup>th</sup> December 2011

Report title: Coventry 2012 – Confirmation of full programme of works

## 1. Context (or background)

- 1.1 The background to the Coventry 2012 Public Realm Project was covered in a report to Cabinet on 21<sup>st</sup> January 2011. Cabinet approved a programme to deliver a programme of infrastructure and public realm improvements to:
  - Promote regeneration of the city centre
  - Provide a lasting legacy for the people of Coventry
  - Engender a feeling of pride in Coventry and ensure the many visitors in 2012 leave with a positive image of the city.
- 1.2 The approved programme included works in Broadgate (including the top of Trinity Street), Railway Station to Bull Yard, High St, Council House Square and decluttering in Hales St, Corporation St and Queen Victoria Road.
- 1.3 A public consultation was undertaken in January 2011 immediately following Cabinet approval. In response to the feedback from the consultation a number of significant changes were made to the design and scope of the Broadgate and Station to Bull Yard schemes. The principal changes were to fully pedestrianise Broadgate and to upgrade the quality of the paving material in Broadgate. Inevitably the consequence has been an increase in costs. The other schemes, including Gosford Street, remain within budget. As a consequence of these design changes and the reduction in budget, the schemes to High Street and Council Square have had to be omitted from the first phase of works to allow the overall programme to remain within the approved budget.
- 1.4 Furthermore, as a result of the public consultation, a number of additional schemes have been proposed. These include pocket parks, further de-cluttering, improved wayfinding within the city centre and further improvements to Jordan Well and Earl Street. These additional schemes are highlighted in yellow in Appendix A.
- 1.5 Schemes have also come forward as a result of discussions with other organisations such as Severn Trent (Much Park Street), the Cathedral (Unity Lawn) and the Methodist Central Hall. These additional schemes are also highlighted in yellow in Appendix A.
- 1.6 A value engineering exercise has been carried out on all schemes in partnership with Balfour Beatty and good progress has been made on driving down some costs. Examples include the complete closure of the Cox St/ Gosford Street junction to reduce the construction period and the recycling of materials.
- 1.7 Appendix C shows the location and planned extent of all potential projects and demonstrates how the projects link together. Appendix A lists of all of the potential schemes and provides an overview of costs (after value engineering) and deliverability. These projects can be cross referenced on the map of the City Centre in Appendix C. Appendix B provides a brief description of each scheme.
- 1.8 It should be noted that to stay within the approved budget (and due to the comments noted in clause 1.3) the Station to Bull Yard scheme has been reduced

in scope. It is proposed that if further funding becomes available the full scope of this scheme be restored.

1.9 These schemes have the potential to significantly enhance the core (green)
Coventry 2012 projects and would help to deliver a coherent traffic strategy across
the city centre.

# 2. Options considered and recommended proposal

The options below are considered further in the private report on your agenda

# 2.1 **Option 1 – Do Minimum**

Maintain funding at the previously approved level: this would allow the schemes shown in green in appendix A to proceed, but with a reduced specification in order to keep costs within budget. This would mean a significant reduction in the specification of those schemes not yet started. This would impact particularly on Station to Bull Yard, and require High Street and Council Square to be omitted from the programme. As the Coventry 2012 public realm legacy project has developed, peoples' aspirations have grown and popular measures could only be partially implemented.

# 2.2 **Option 2**

2.3 Option 2 explores how a funding package can be constructed which allows the Council to fund the Yellow projects set out in Appendix A and thereby meet citizen's aspirations.

## 2.4 Options for managing the Shortfall:

Additional ERDF Grant

- 2.5 In January 2011 Cabinet authorised the submission of a bid to the ERDF support the Coventry 2012 programme. The application was approved by DCLG in April 2011.
- 2.6 Whilst there is currently no further funding available through the current ERDF Coventry & Warwickshire Sustainable Urban Development package it is possible that programmes within the programme may not proceed. In the event that this is the case the Council may wish to make an application to DCLG to seek further funds to support the Coventry 2012 programme. This report recommends that authorisation to seek such an extension is granted.

Further Value Engineering

2.7 Although a comprehensive exercise has already been undertaken as outlined in paragraph 1.8, Balfour Beatty, Warwickshire CC, Jacobs and the City Council are working closely to control costs and further savings are anticipated. Furthermore,

there remain opportunities to realise savings from a number of the 'yellow' schemes where we are only at the outline design stage.

#### **External Contributions**

2.8 There is the potential for limited external contributions as suggested in the January 2011 report. It is considered that there is potential for contributions towards the pocket parks and public art.

## **Programming**

2.9 It is anticipated that all the green works will be delivered in accordance with the programme set out in the January 2011 Report, that is, completion by May 2012. As the yellow works are dependent on funding being available no programme can be offered against these works at this time, however all efforts will be made to progress matters as quickly as possible.

#### 3. Results of consultation undertaken

- 3.1 A public consultation was held last January including the opening of a shop in the city centre for a fortnight and presentations at many ward forum meetings and those of other groups across the city. The upshot of this consultation was a number of significant design changes including the full pedestrianisation of Broadgate, the change of material specification to granite, more feature lighting and more greenery. All of these design changes have had significant cost implications as discussed elsewhere in this report.
- 3.2 In addition, ideas for further projects emerged from the consultation process such as pocket parks and more in the way of decluttering which proved to be very popular with the public. The yellow schemes include a number of these.
- 3.3 There has been ongoing dialogue with access groups to ensure that the scheme reflects the needs of people with disabilities.
- 3.4 Much of what has been done and what is now proposed clearly demonstrates how the consultation with the public has genuinely been used to change and amend the nature of the schemes originally proposed. The quality of the consultation has also clearly been appreciated by the public as there is a clear and continuing support for the project.

## 4. Timetable for implementing this decision

4.1 Immediately.

## 5. Comments from Director of Legal and Finance Services

5.1 It is recommended that authority is delegated to the Directors of City Services and Development and Finance and Legal Services to commence works subject to availability of resources or reduced costs.

## 6. Other Implications

How will this contribute to achievement of the Council's key objectives / corporate priorities (corporate plan/scorecard) / organisational blueprint / LAA (or Coventry SCS)?

- 6.1 2012 will be an opportunity for the city to showcase itself to the world and will help to attract investment to the city by kick starting the regeneration of the city centre. Jobs and training opportunities will be created in the short term around the construction works required. In the longer term jobs will be created through the city showcasing itself to the world in line with the aims of the Council's Job Strategy which was approved by Members earlier this year.
- 6.2 The successful implementation of the public realm scheme will also help support real pride within the city
- 6.3 It is clear that Coventry 2012 programme is already having a positive impact on private sector investors in the City. Hammerson (the owners of Cathedral Lanes) and Barberry (the purchaser of the former Royal Mail sorting office) have both increased their investment in the city as a direct result of the Coventry 2012 programme. These schemes will see upwards of £50m invested in the city and hundreds of jobs created.

## How is risk being managed?

- 6.4 A programme board for Coventry 2012 has been established, chaired by the Assistant Director, Planning, Transport and Highways, to oversee progress. This is a high profile project and minimizing risk is a top priority.
- 6.5 Financial risk is being managed through careful programming to ensure the yellow projects are not commenced until funding is available, and with approval from the Directors of CSD and FLS.

## What is the impact on the organisation?

6.6 The reduction in expenditure on the Integrated Transport Block means that there are staff resources available to work on Coventry 2012.

### Equalities / EIA

6.7 The proposals will make movement around the city centre easier for everyone. This is because of the removal of unnecessary street furniture and measures to reduce the dominance of vehicular traffic. However, access by car for those that need it will be maintained. Discussions with the Access Groups are underway to

ensure that the design of Broadgate and other areas properly reflects access needs. Likewise, passengers' needs in terms of changes to bus stops are being considered.

# Implications for (or impact on) the environment

- 6.8 Coventry 2012 will help to make movement around the city centre easier for people on foot and cycle. The changes to bus routes and stopping arrangements should also promote public transport as will the much improved link to the railway station. Vehicular movement will benefit from the removal of traffic lights which will mean less stopping and starting and therefore lower fuel consumption. The reduction in the use of street furniture will also reduce the consumption of raw materials with consequent C0<sup>2</sup> benefits.
- 6.9 Overall there will be a major improvement in the appearance of the city centre and it is hoped this will encourage people in the city to shop more locally rather than travelling further afield.

## Implications for partner organisations?

6.10 The main objective of Coventry 2012 is to "kickstart" the regeneration of the city centre and to improve perceptions of the city. This will bring major benefits to all organisations that have a stake in the city.

Report author(s): Colin Knight

## Name and job title:

Colin Knight, Assistant Director, Planning, Transport and Highways

#### **Directorate:**

City Services and Development

#### Tel and email contact:

Tel 024 7683 2322

E-Mail colin.knight@coventry.gov.uk

Enquiries should be directed to the above person.

Contributor/approver name	Title	Directorate or organisation	Date doc sent out	Date response received or approved
Contributors:				
Richard Moon	Senior Development Executive	CSⅅ	12/10/11	19/10/11

Names of approvers for submission: (officers and members)				
Finance: Phil Helm	Finance Manager	Finance & legal	12/10/11	14/10/11
Legal: Christine Forde	Assistant Director/Council Solicitor	Finance & legal	17/10/11	18/10/11
Director: Martin Yardley	Director of City Services and Development	CSⅅ	12/10/11	14/10/11
Members: Cllrs Mutton, Bigham & Harvard			14/10/11	17/10/11
Fran Collingham	Comms		26/10/11	27/10/11

- 1. Gosford Street
- 2. Broadgate
- 3. Burges Hales Street
- 4. Cox Street Resurfacing
- 5. Cuckoo Lane Sett Repairs
- 6. Ironmonger
- 7. Hertford Street
- 8. Trinity Street
- 9. Livesite Screen
- 10. Whittle Arch Narrowing
- 11. Corp St/Upper Wells Street
- 12. Station to Bull yard (de-scoped scheme)

Professional Fees (Design, legal, site supervision, Traffic Orders and Project Management)

- 13. Broadgate Architectural Lighting
- 14. Station to Bull Yard Architectural Lighting
- 15. Station to Bull yard (Enhanced scheme)
- 16. High Street
- 17. Council House Square
- 18. Whittle Arch Enhanced Scheme
- 19. Traffic and Gateway Projects
- 20. Wider Decluttering
- 21. Bishop Street Pocket Park
- 22. Wayfinding
- 23. Coach Parking
- 24. Public Art Funding
- 25. Lidice Place
- 26. Jordan Well
- 27. Rover Road Pocket Park
- 28. Broadgate Tunnel (Works Cost)
- 29. Spon Street Surfacing Repairs
- 30. Priory / Fairfax St Junction
- 31. Palmer Lane Cycle Path
- 32. Cathedral Gardens

N.B All Yellow Schemes include Professional Fees

# Appendix B

# **Summary of Coventry 2012 Schemes**

#### **Green Schemes:**

- 1. **Gosford Street:** Removal of traffic signals, widening of footways/narrowing of carriageways, tree planting and creation of bus hub. (Nearing completion).
- 2. **Broadgate:** Pedestrianisation and paving of entire area with granite slabs. Provision of power and water within square to enable events and portable kiosk shops to be located in the square. Tree planting, provision of benches. (Underway)
- 3. **Burges/Hales St:** Creation of junction based on shared space principles to a similar design to that used on Gosford Street. Traffic signals have been removed and the adjoining footpaths will be repaved to enhance the setting of the Old Grammar School. The area will also be decluttered. (Underway)
- 4. **Cox St Resurfacing:** Resurfacing of the carriageway to Cox Street from Grove St to Fairfax St. (Complete)
- 5. Cuckoo Lane Sett Repairs: Relaying of uneven/loose setts and replacement of missing setts in Bayley Lane, St Mary St, Cuckoo Lane, Priory Row and Trinity Lane. (St Mary St, Cuckoo Lane and Bayley Lane largely complete)
- 6. **Ironmonger Square:** Widening of road way through Ironmonger Square to enable the buses to move out of Broadgate whilst allowing bus passengers to get close to Broadgate. This scheme was not in the original list as the decision to pedestrianise Broadgate was only taken following public consultation. (Due to be completed early November)
- 7. **Hertford Street:** Deep clean and decluttering of the street. Refurbishment of the canopy and relighting. Improvements to the link to Ford's Hospital are included.
- 8. **Trinity Street:** Provision of grass, benches and trees in the area between Primark and Holy Trinity Church. Paved routes to be provided through the area with accommodation for occasional vehicular access to Broadgate and the church.
- 9. **Livesite Screen:** Installation of the big screen on the wall of the transport museum. (Complete)
- 10. **Whittle Arch:** Provision of pedestrian crossing point on Hales Street road to be narrowed to 4m). (Nearly complete)
- 11. Corporation St/Upper Wells St: Adjustments to the signal layout at the junction of Upper Well St/Corporation St and at the West Orchard car park access to improve traffic flow. (Nearly complete)
- 12. **Station to Bull Yard:** Major improvements to the walking route from the railway station to Bull Yard. Includes the infilling of the Eaton Road and Greyfriars Road underpasses; repaving of the path across Greyfriars Green; removal of the signals at Greyfriars Rd/Warwick Rd and widening of the footpath on the approach to Bull Yard. (Starts early November)

### **Yellow Schemes:**

- 13. **Broadgate Architectural Lighting:** Extensive Scheme of lighting of trees, railings, statue, buildings etc., to enhance the look and feel of the square.
- 14. **Station to Bull Yard Architectural Lighting:** Provision of distinctive lights to delineate the walking route from the station to Bull Yard. Also includes feature lighting to the underside of the viaduct.
- 15. **Station to Bull Yard (Enhanced Scheme):** Reduction of Warwick Rd/New Union St to a single carriageway (2 way) to allow for the expansion of Greyfriars Green and Bull Yard. Provision of on street parking, creation of a bus hub and enhancement works around Central Hall and Warwick Lane. Includes the removal of the traffic signals at the junction of Greyfriars Lane and New Union St.
- 16. **High Street:** Creation of a kerbed carriageway with repaved footways and reduced street clutter.
- 17. **Council House Square:** Removal of traffic lights and widening of refuges to reduce road to single lane width in each direction. The slip road in front of the Earl of Mercia will also be removed to allow a bus bay to be created on Little Park St close to High St. The paving will be repaired and unnecessary clutter removed.
- 18. Whittle Arch (Enhanced Scheme): Provision of refuge across the link road under the arch. In addition, a radius will be provided to allow buses to turn left from the arch into Hales St (leading into White St) and the area will be decluttered.
- 19. **Traffic and Gateway Projects:** The provision of the 20mph and restricted parking zones, construction of gateways into the city centre, changes to road markings and traffic regulation orders and the creation of additional on street parking spaces.
- 20. **Wider Decluttering:** Many of the above schemes include decluttering; this project provides for the removal of excess street furniture across the rest of the city centre.
- 21. **Bishop St Pocket Park:** Landscaping of the Axa site at the corner of Bishop St and Corporation St.
- 22. **Wayfinding:** Provision of additional and enhanced sign posts to the city's attractions and facilities along with city centre maps.
- 23. **Coach Parking:** Provision of additional coach bays around the city centre and conversion of White St car park to coaches only.
- 24. **Public Art Funding:** To enhance all of the above works, the potential for grant funding and help in kind from other organizations to provide public art in the city centre is being investigated. This could include the resurrection of former city art works, work from the Universities and the commissioning of new works.
- 25. **Lidice Place:** Decluttering and removal of bus gate. Feature lighting and tree planting. Carriageway to be resurfaced.
- 26. **Jordan Well:** Minor kerb realignment to create consistent carriageway width and resurfacing of carriageway and footways.
- 27. **Rover Road Pocket Park:** Creation of a pocket park on the site of the former fish market. Design similar to the pocket park opposite the Transport Museum.

- 28. **Broadgate 'Tunnels':** The paving in granite (same slabs as used in Broadgate) of the connecting tunnels leading off Broadgate to Hertford St and Cross Cheaping.
- 29.**Spon St Resurfacing:** Repairs to the yorkstone blocks where they have become loose.
- 30. **Priory St/Fairfax St:** Removal of traffic lights, resurfacing, decluttering and reallocation of carriageway space on Fairfax St to create further coach bays and bus stops.
- 31. Palmer Lane Cycle Path: Provision of alternative route for west bound cyclists following the conversion of Hales St to one way working.
- 32. **Cathedral Gardens:** Creation of new landscaped area based on Unity Lawn and improvements to the toilets beneath the steps to the Cathedral ruins. Potential partnership scheme with Cathedral.

